



Request for Proposal

Consulting Services for Oversight and Compliance in Connection with the American Rescue Act of 2021 and Other Related Grant Funds

Q & A

- 1) ***Does the City anticipate that this work will be conducted primarily on site or remote?***
 - a. Remote

- 2) ***Section III provides an outline for proposer's responses. However, it does not request an approach from proposers. Exhibit A and Sections 1 through 4 (starting on page 13) provide a detailed scope of work. Can you please confirm that the City does not wish for proposers to provide our approach to completing this work?***
 - a. The City did not ask for the approach to be outlined.

- 3) ***Section X mentions that "Prospective firms are encouraged to provide separate cost proposals for advisory services, communication services, project management services, and cost tracking, accounting, reporting, and auditing services." Can you please clarify the following?***
 - a. *How would the City like to see cost presented (i.e. lump sum for each service, rate card, etc.)?*
 - i. Lump sum is preferred.

 - b. *Are the services outlined in question 3 above part of the SOW provided in Exhibit A or separate? There appears to be significant overlap.*
 - i. Per addendum 1, the solicitation process changed from a Request for Qualifications (RFQu) to a Request for Proposal (RFP) to include cost /fees as part of the evaluation. There was no change in the SOW. You are correct, there is definitely an overlap because the RFP SOW is an exact copy of the previously issued RFQu SOW.